NE-0004 PATENT

COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR PREVENTING OR TREATING CANCER

Introduction 5

This invention was made in the course of research sponsored by the National Institutes of Health (NIH Grant Nos. CA72712, CA57362 CA09476). The U.S. government may have certain rights in this invention.

10

20

25

30

Background of the Invention

MUC1 is a large, transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on the apical surface of many types of polarized epithelia including pancreas, lung, breast and the gastrointestinal 15 tract (Finn, et al. (1995) *Immunol. Rev.* 145:61). MUC1 is overexpressed and differentially glycosylated by a number of adenocarcinomas (Croce, et al. (1997) Anticancer Res. 17:4287) and has been evaluated as a candidate antigen for active immunotherapy protocols. Humoral and cell-mediated immune responses against MUC1 are detected in patients with MUC1 tumors, as measured in vitro (Domenech, et al. (1995) et al. (1999) Cancer Immunol. 155:4766; Petrarca, J. Immunol. Immunother. 47:272; Nakamura, et al. (1998) J. 33:354); however, these responses Gastroenterol. ineffective at eliminating the tumors in vivo.

A number of MUC1-based immunogens have been evaluated as potential cancer vaccines (Graham, et al. (1996) Int. J. Cancer 65:664; Chien-Hung and Wu (1998) J. Biomed. Sci. 5:231; Reddish, et al. (1998) Int. J. Cancer 76:817; Heukamp, et al. (2002) J. Immunother. 25:46). These include whole cells expressing MUC1, MUC1 purified from tumor. cells, and peptide or glycopeptide fragments derived from the tandem repeat region of MUC1 (Finn, et al. (1995) supra; Graham, et al. (1996) Cancer Immunol. Immunother.

42:71; U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,744,144, 5,827,666 WO 88/05054, U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,963,484 and 6,344,203). Clinical trials that utilized MUC1 as a vaccine component focused on the tandem repeat region (Finn, et al. (1995) supra; Graham, et al. (1996) supra; Chien-Hung and Wu (1998) supra; (1998) supra). Putative epitopes from regions outside of the tandem repeat region of MUC1 have also been investigated (Brossart, et al. (2000) Blood 96:3102; Brossart, et al. (1999) Blood 93:4309; Heukamp, et al. (2001) Int. J. Cancer 91:385); however, other potentially 10 epitopes from this tumor-associated important antigen, especially those in the cytoplasmic tail, have not been studied. Most studies have used in vitro assays to investigate that the tandem repeat region contains 15 immunodominant epitopes for production of MUC1 specific antibodies and cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTL). However, it has been shown that in vitro assays of cytolytic responses do not accurately predict MUC1-specific tumor rejection (Tempero, et al. (1998) J. Immunol. 161:5500). For example, 20 no detectable differences were observed in the anti-MUC1 CTL precursor frequencies of wild-type C57BL/6 mice and C57BL/6 mice transgenic for human MUC1 (MUC1.Tg) (Tempero, (1998) supra), although wild-type mice rejected al. MUC1-expressing tumors in a MUC1-specific manner while 25 MUC1.Tg mice did not reject these tumors and evidence of immunological tolerance to MUC1 (Tempero, et al. (1998) supra; Rowse, et al. (1998) Cancer Res. 58:315).

In vivo immune responses directed against tumorassociated MUCl have also been analyzed. The nature of cellular immune responses that mediate rejection of MUCl-expressing tumors in mice was investigated by experiments that depleted CD4⁺, CD8⁺ or both T cell subsets in vivo. CD4⁺ cells were required for elimination of a human MUCl-

30

expressing murine melanoma cell line (B16.MUC1), and CD8⁺ cells were required for the elimination of a human MUC1expressing murine pancreatic carcinoma cell line (Panc02.MUC1), in wild-type C57BL/6 mice (Tempero, et al. (1999) Int. J. Cancer 80:595; Morikane, et al. (2001) Int. Immunol. 13:233). Studies using mice deficient in molecular components critical to the immune responses (VanLith, al. (2002) Int. Immunol. 14:873; Sivinski, et al. (2002) Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 51:327) further showed that both ${\rm CD4}^+$ and ${\rm CD8}^+$ responses were mediated by $\alpha/\beta\,{\rm T}$ cell 10 receptors and required costimulation through CD28, as well interactions between CD40 and CD40 ligand, activities of interferon $\gamma(\text{IFN}\gamma)$, and lymphotoxin α . number of other factors (IL4, IL10, IL12, TNFR-1) were not required. There were differences in the effector mechanisms 15 as the CD8-mediated cytotoxicity required perforin but not in contrast, the CD4-mediated cytotoxic response required both perforin and FasL.

20 Summary of the Invention

25

30

One aspect of the present invention is a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide of SEQ ID NO:1 or a portion thereof for preventing or treating cancer in a subject. In a preferred embodiment, the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide of SEQ ID NO:1 is part of a vaccine.

Another aspect of the present invention is a method for preventing or treating cancer in a subject. The method involves administering to a subject an effective amount of a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide of SEQ ID NO:1 or portion thereof so that cancer is prevented or treated in the subject.

Detailed Description of the Invention

Epitopes MUC1 have now been οf found that recognized and required by the different MUC1 specific T cell populations (CD4 and CD8) mediating the antitumor responses. Putative epitopes were mapped by challenging mice with tumor cell lines (B16 and Panc02) that expressed constructs of human MUC1 in which portions of the coding sequences for the protein were deleted. The C-terminus of the cytoplasmic tail (CT) and the tandem repeat portion of MUC1 were required for rejection of B16.MUC1, 10 while rejection of Panc02.MUC1 required a distinct portion of the cytoplasmic tail of MUC1, and not the tandem repeat. Vaccination with peptides derived from the amino acid sequence of MUC1 cytoplasmic tail generated protective 15 immune responses against MUC1-expressing tumors in MUC1.Tg Survival of MUC1.Tg mice challenged with expressing B16 or Panc02 tumor cells was significantly prolonged following vaccination with three overlapping peptides spanning the entire cytoplasmic tail portion of 20 MUC1. Further, vaccination with MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptides did not induce detectable autoimmune responses. These results demonstrate the importance of immunogenic. epitopes outside of the tandem repeat of MUC1 and indicate that immunization with MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptides is an 25 effective anti-cancer immunotherapeutic approach.

Initially, the surface expression of human MUC1 variants encoded by deletion constructs expressed in B16 and PancO2 cells was evaluated by flow cytometry. Control transfected B16 (B16.neo) and PancO2 (PancO2.neo) were unreactive with the M2 or anti-MUC1 HMFG-2 antibodies. At least two representative clones of each cell line, with similar in vitro growth rates, and expressing similar

30

levels of the MUC1 isoforms, as determined by western blot analysis, were selected for further studies.

Wild-type C57BL/6 mice produce MUC1-specific immune responses when challenged with MUC1-expressing B16 tumor 5 cells (Tempero, et al. (1998) supra; Rowse, et al. (1998) supra), and Panc02 tumor cells (Morikane, et al. (2001) supra; Morikane, et al. (1999) Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 47:287), which are lacking in MUC1.Tg mice because tolerance, as evidenced immunological differences in survival among these strains. It has 10 been shown that these immune responses in wild-type mice against B16.MUC1 and Panc02.MUC1 are MUC1-specific as the survival of wild-type and MUC1.Tg mice challenged with Panc02.neo B16.neo or cells were statistically 15 indistinguishable (p>0.05). Immunodominant epitopes MUC1-specific immune responses in vivo were identified by with challenging míce tumors expressing recombinant isoforms of MUC1 that lacked defined regions of cytoplasmic tail or tandem repeat. Evidence that deleted portion of MUC1 contributed to MUC1-specific immune 20 responses was obtained when survival curves for wild-type challenged with B16 or Panc02 tumor cells animals expressing the deleted forms were similar to those MUC1-negative mice or mice challenged with controls, B16.neo or PancO2.neo. If the deleted portion of 25 MUC1 was not required for MUC1-specific rejection tumors, then the survival curves would have more closely resembled those of wild-type mice challenged with B16 or Panc02 cells expressing full-length MUC1.

The contribution of the cytoplasmic tail of MUC1 to MUC1-specific immune responses against MUC1 expressing B16 tumors was analyzed. The cytoplasmic tail was examined for epitopes that contributed to MUC1-specific immune responses

30

directed against B16.MUC1 tumor cells. Wild-type and MUC1.Tg mice were challenged subcutaneously with 2 X 10^4 B16 tumor cells expressing a construct in which all but three amino acids of the C-terminus were deleted (B16.MUC1.CT3). The removal of the cytoplasmic tail eliminated MUC1-specific immune responses toward B16.MUC1 (p>0.05), indicating that the cytoplasmic tail was critical to the immunological rejection of these tumors (Table 1).

TABLE 1

Tumor Cell Type	Difference in Median Survival (Wildtype-MUC1.Tg)	p Value
B16.MUC1	14.00 Days	<0.0001
B16.neo	-1.00 Days	0.518
B16.MUC1.CT3	0.00 Days	0.322
B16.MUC1.CT33	1.00 Days	0.100
B16.MUC1.CT45	-1.50 Days	0.253
B16.MUC1 (ΔTR)	0.00 Days	0.231

10 P values were determined from Kaplan-Meier survival curves.

15

20

25

responses to two additional constructs expressed by B16 tumor cells were examined. constructs the final 36 amino acids (MUC1.CT33) final 24 amino acids (MUC1.CT45) of the cytoplasmic tail MUC1-specific tumor rejection were deleted. detected in wild-type mice challenged with B16 tumor cells that expressed either MUC1.CT33 (p>0.05) or MUC1.CT45 (p>0.05). These results indicated that the final 24 amino acid segment of the cytoplasmic tail contained an epitope or region that was critical for immunological responses that mediated rejection of MUC1-expressing B16 tumor cells.

The contribution of the tandem repeat to MUC1-specific immune responses directed against B16.MUC1 tumor cells was similarly evaluated. Wild-type and MUC1.Tg mice were challenged subcutaneously with 2 X 10^4 B16 cells expressing

a construct in which the large, extracellular tandem repeat portion was deleted (B16.MUC1(Δ TR)). Wild-type mice challenged with B16.MUC1(Δ TR) experienced survival similar to MUC1.Tg mice challenged with this tumor cell line (p>0.05). These findings indicate that the tandem repeat was an important immunological target during rejection of B16.MUC1 tumor cells, when expressed together with the final 24 amino acid segment of the cytoplasmic tail.

studies, the contribution of parallel cytoplasmic tail to MUC1-specific immune responses against MUC1-expressing Panc02 tumor cells was determined. It has been demonstrated that wild-type C57BL/6 mice produce cellmediated, MUC1-specific immune responses that reject MUC1expressing Panc02 tumors, whereas MUC1.Tg mice do not reject these tumors (Morikane, et al. (2001)Morikane, et al. (1999) supra). Groups of wild-type and MUC1.Tg mice were challenged subcutaneously with 1 imes 10^6 Panc02 tumor cells expressing MUC1.CT3. Similar to results B16.MUC1.CT3 tumor cells, the removal cytoplasmic tail eliminated MUC1-specific immune responses toward Panc02.MUC1 (p>0.05) (Table 2).

10

15

20

TABLE 2

Tumor Cell Type	Difference in Median Survival (Wildtype-MUC1.Tg)	p Value
Panc02.MUC1	>34.50 Days	0.01
Panc02.neo	-4.00 Days	0.38
Panc02.MUC1.CT3	3.00 Days	0.44
Panc02.MUC1.CT33	29.00 Days	0.0056
Panc02.MUC1.CT45	18.00 Days	<0.0001
Panc02.MUC1(ΔTR)	8.00 Days	0.0058

P values were determined from Kaplan-Meier survival curves.

Further analysis of the cytoplasmic tail to MUC1-specific immune responses against MUC1-expressing Panc02 tumor cells was conducted. The survival of wild-type and MUC1.Tg mice following challenge with 1 X 10^6 Panc02 tumor cells expressing MUC1.CT33 was determined. In contrast to results with B16 tumor cells expressing this form of MUC1, wild-type mice rejected these tumors and MUC1.Tg mice did not reject these tumors (p<0.05).

5

20

25

30

The survival of wild-type and MUC1.Tg mice following challenge with 1 X 106 Panc02 tumor cells expressing 10 MUC1.CT45 was determined. The wild-type mice rejected MUC1.CT45-expressing tumors in a MUC1-specific manner, whereas MUC1.Tg mice did not (p<0.05). These findings indicated that an epitope or region between amino acid 15 residues three and 33 of the cytoplasmic tail contributed immunological responses necessary to reject MUC1expressing Panc02 tumors.

The contribution of the tandem repeat to MUC1-specific immune responses to Panc02.MUC1 was determined. Wild-type and MUC1.Tg mice were challenged with 1 X 10^6 Panc02 tumor cells expressing MUC1(Δ TR). Prolonged survival was observed for wild-type mice compared to MUC1.Tg mice following challenge with Panc02.MUC1(Δ TR) tumor cells (p<0.05). These results indicated that the tandem repeat portion of MUC1 was not required to reject Panc02.MUC1 tumor cells in a MUC1-specific manner.

Results provided herein demonstrate that epitopes in the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail are critical for the immunological rejection of two distinct MUC1-expressing tumors. Accordingly, the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail amino acid sequence was analyzed using two independent web-based algorithms that predict potential binding to major

histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I- and MHC class II molecules. Epitope prediction was conducted for the 72 amino acid residue sequence of MUC1 cytoplasmic tail:

Cys-Gln-Cys-Arg-Arg-Lys-Asn-Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp-Ile-Phe
Pro-Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr-Tyr-His-Pro-Met-Ser-Glu-Tyr-Pro-ThrTyr-His-Thr-His-Gly-Arg-Tyr-Val-Pro-Pro-Ser-Ser-Thr-AspArg-Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu-Lys-Val-Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn-Gly-Gly-SerSer-Leu-Ser-Tyr-Thr-Asn-Pro-Ala-Val-Ala-Ala-Ala-Ser-AlaAsn-Leu (SEQ ID NO:1). Multiple peptides within the regions
required for rejection of Panc02.MUC1 (amino acids 3-33) and B16.MUC1 (amino acids 45-69) were predicted to exhibit high binding affinity for murine MHC class I. The results for binding to Kb and Db are summarized in Table 3.

TABLE 3

Predicted Epitope	Location	H-2	SYFPEITHI	BIMAS
		Molecule	Score ¹	Score ²
Cys-Arg-Arg-Lys-		1		
Asn-Tyr-Gly-Gln-	3	H2-D ^b	20	10.171
Leu (SEQ ID NO:2)				
Val-Ser-Ala-Gly-				No Score
Asn-Gly-Gly-Ser-	49	H2-D ^b	14	Obtained '
Ser (SEQ ID NO:3)				Obtained
Leu-Ser-Tyr-Thr-				
Asn-Pro-Ala-Val-	58 .	H2-D ^b	13	33.480
Ala (SEQ ID NO:4)				
Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn-				
Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser-	50	H2-D ^b	18	22.176
Leu (SEQ ID NO:5)				_
Ala-Val-Ala-Ala-				
Ala-Ser-Ala-Asn-	64	, H5-D _р	12	10.088
Leu (SEQ ID NO:6)	_			
Val-Ser-Ala-Gly-				Decamer
Asn-Gly-Gly-Ser-	49	H2-D ^b	25	*
Ser-Leu (SEQ ID	49	H2-D	25	Option
NO:7)				N/A
Pro-Ala-Val-Ala-				Decamer
Ala-Ala-Ser-Ala-	63	H2-D ^b	15	Option
Asn-Leu (SEQ ID	63	HZ-D	13	1 - 1
NO:8)			<u> </u>	N/A
Leu-Ser-Tyr-Thr-	58	H2-D ^b	14	Decamer
Asn-Pro-Ala-Val-	30	nz-D	14	Option

Ala-Ala (SEQ ID NO:9)				N/A
Phe-Pro-Ala-Arg- 'Asp-Thr-Tyr-His- Pro-Met (SEQ ID NO:10)	14	H2-D ^b	13	Decamer Option N/A
Arg-Arg-Lys-Asn- Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu (SEQ ID NO:11)	4	H2-K ^b	22	Octamer Option N/A
Asp-Arg-Ser-Pro- Tyr-Glu-Lys-Val (SEQ ID NO:12)	42	Н2-К ^b	18	Octamer Option N/A
Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr- Tyr-His-Pro-Met (SEQ ID NO:13)	16	H2-K ^b	17	Octamer Option N/A
Ser-Ser-Leu-Ser- Tyr-Thr-Asn-Pro (SEQ ID NO:14)	56	H2-K ^b	14	Octamer Option N/A
Cys-Arg-Arg-Lys- Asn-Tyr-Gly-Gln- Leu (SEQ ID NO:15)	. 3	H2-K ^b	No Score Obtained	1.440
Ala-Val-Ala-Ala- Ala-Ser-Ala-Asn- Leu (SEQ ID NO:6)	64	H2-K ^b	No Score Obtained	1.210
Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn- Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser- Leu (SEQ ID NO:5)	50	H2-K ^b	No Score Obtained	1.100
Ser-Glu-Tyr-Pro- Thr-Tyr-His-Thr- His (SEQ ID NO:16)	24	H2-K ^b	No Score Obtained	1.100

Location is the position of the first residue. ¹Score obtained using program developed by Rammensee, et al. (1999) *Immunogenetics* 50:213. ²Score obtained using program developed by Parker, et al. (1994) *J. Immunol.* 152:163. Score for H2-D^b obtained using D^b revised. N/A is not available.

Similar analysis revealed numerous putative epitopes within these regions predicted to bind human HLA molecules (Table 4).

TABLE 4

Predicted Epitope	Location	HLA Molecule	SYFPEITHI Score ^{1,3}	BIMAS Score ^{2,3}
Ser-Leu-Ser-Tyr- Thr-Asn-Pro-Ala- Val (SEQ ID NO:17)	57	HLA- A*0201	23	69.552
Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn- Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser- Leu (SEQ ID NO:5)	50	HLA- A*0201	20	0.297
Tyr-Thr-Asn-Pro- Ala-Val-Ala-Ala- Ala (SEQ ID NO:18)	60	HLA- A*0201	20	0.730
Ala-Val-Ala-Ala- Ala-Ser-Ala-Asn- Leu (SEQ ID NO:6)	64	HLA- A*0201	20	1.869
Ser-Tyr-Thr-Asn- Pro-Ala-Val-Ala- Ala-Ala (SEQ ID NO:19)	59	HLA- A*0203	27	HLA Type N/A
Asp-Thr-Tyr-His- Pro-Met-Ser-Glu- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:20)	18	HLA-A1	22	1.250
Gly-Asn-Gly-Gly- Ser-Ser-Leu-Ser- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:21)	52	HLA-A1	22	0.625
Pro-Thr-Tyr-His- Thr-His-Gly-Arg- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:22)	27	HLA-A1	21	0.125
Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro- Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:23)	12	HLA-A1	20	5.000
Pro-Ser-Ser-Thr- Asp-Arg-Ser-Pro- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:24)	38	HLA-A1	20	0.075
Ala-Gly-Asn-Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser-Leu-Ser-Tyr (SEQ ID NO:25)	`51	HLA-A1	23	No Score Obtained
Ser-Thr-Asp-Arg- Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu- Lys-Val (SEQ ID NO:26)	40	HLA-A1	20	No Score Obtained
Asp-Thr-Tyr-His- Pro-Met-Ser-Glu- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:20)	18	HLA-A26	32	HLA Type N/A
Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro- Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:23)	12	HLA-A26	30	HLA Type N/A

Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro- Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr- Tyr-His (SEQ ID NO:27)	12	HLA-A26	20	HLA Type N/A
Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro- Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:23)	12	HLA-A3	22	0.900
Lys-Val-Ser-Ala- Gly-Asn-Gly-Gly- Ser-Ser (SEQ ID NO:28)	48	HLA-A3	21	No Score Obtained
Tyr-Val-Pro-Pro- Ser-Ser-Thr-Asp- Arg (SEQ ID NO:29)	35	HLA-A68.1	HLA Type N/A	300.000
Arg-Arg-Lys-Asn- Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu (SEQ ID NO:11)	4	HLA-B*08	20	HLA Type N/A
Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu- Lys-Val-Ser-Ala (SEQ ID NO:30)	44	HLA-B*08	20	HLA Type N/A
Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu- Lys-Val-Ser-Ala- Gly (SEQ ID NO:31)	44	HLA-B*08	22	HLA Type N/A
Cys-Arg-Arg-Lys- Asn-Tyr-Gly-Gln- Leu (SEQ ID NO:15)	3	HLA- B*2705	23	HLA Type N/A
Gly-Arg-Tyr-Val- Pro-Pro-Ser-Ser- Thr (SEQ ID NO:32)	30	HLA- B*2705	18	1000.000
Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr- Tyr-His-Pro-Met- Ser (SEQ ID NO:33)	13	HLA- B*2705	12	200.000
Lys-Asn-Tyr-Gly- Gln-Leu-Asp-Ile- Phe (SEQ ID NO:34)	6	HLA- B*2705	17	150.000
Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp- Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala- Arg (SEQ ID NO:35)	9	HLA- B*2705	17	100.000
Arg-Arg-Lys-Asn- Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu- Asp (SEQ ID NO:36)	4	HLA- B*2705	14	60.000
Cys-Arg-Arg-Lys- Asn-Tyr-Gly-Gln- Leu (SEQ ID NO:15)	3	HLA- B*2709	21	HLA Type N/A
His-Pro-Met-Ser- Glu-Tyr-Pro-Thr- Tyr (SEQ ID NO:37)	21	HLA- B*3501	HLA Type N/A	60.000

,				
Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn- Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser- Leu (SEQ ID NO:5)	50 ⁻	HLA- B*5102	HLA Type N/A	60.500
Arg-Lys-Asn-Tyr- Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp- Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala- Arg-Asp-Thr (SEQ ID NO:38)	5	HLA- DRB1*0101	26	HLA Type N/A
Arg-Ser-Pro-Tyr- Glu-Lys-Val-Ser- Ala-Gly-Asn-Gly- Gly-Ser-Ser (SEQ ID NO:39)	43	HLA- DRB1*0101	26	HLA Type N/A
Leu-Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr-Tyr-His-Pro-Met-Ser-Glu (SEQ ID NO:40)	11	HLA- DRB1*0101	24	HLA Type N/A
Tyr-Glu-Lys-Val- Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn- Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser- Leu-Ser-Tyr (SEQ ID NO:41)	46	HLA- DRB1*0101	24	HLA Type N/A
Gly-Arg-Tyr-Val- Pro-Pro-Ser-Ser- Thr-Asp-Arg-Ser- Pro-Tyr-Glu (SEQ ID NO:42)	33	HLA- DRB1*0101	22 .	HLA Type N/A
Gly-Ser-Ser-Leu- Ser-Tyr-Thr-Asn- Pro-Ala-Val-Ala- Ala-Ala-Ser (SEQ ID NO:43)	55	HLA- DRB1*0101	22	HLA Type N/A
Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr-Tyr-His-Pro (SEQ ID NO:44)	8	HLA- DRB1*0101	20	HLA Type N/A
Arg-Lys-Asn-Tyr- Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp- Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala- Arg-Asp-Thr (SEQ ID NO:38)	5	HLA- DRB1*0401	22	HLA Type N/A
Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr-Tyr-His-Pro (SEQ ID NO:44)	8	HLA- DRB1*0401	20	HLA Type N/A

Tyr-His-Pro-Met- Ser-Glu-Tyr-Pro- Thr-Tyr-His-Thr- His-Gly-Arg (SEQ ID NO:45)	20	HLA- DRB1*0401	20	HLA Type N/A
Gly-Arg-Tyr-Val- Pro-Pro-Ser-Ser- Thr-Asp-Arg-Ser- Pro-Tyr-Glu (SEQ ID NO:42)	33	HLA- DRB1*0401	20	HLA Type ′N/A
Tyr-Pro-Thr-Tyr- His-Thr-His-Gly- Arg-Tyr-Val-Pro- Pro-Ser-Ser (SEQ ID NO:46)	26	HLA- DRB1*1101	25	HLA Type N/A
Arg-Lys-Asn-Tyr- Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp- Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala- Arg-Asp-Thr (SEQ ID NO:38)	5	HLA- DRB1*1101	22	HLA Type N/A
Arg-Ser-Pro-Tyr- Glu-Lys-Val-Ser- Ala-Gly-Asn-Gly- Gly-Ser-Ser (SEQ ID NO:39)	43	HLA- DRB1*1101	. 22	HLA Type N/A

Location is the position of the first residue. ¹Score obtained using program developed by Rammensee, et al. (1999) supra. ²Score obtained using program developed by Parker, et al. (1994) supra. ³Minimum scores of 20 on the SYFPEITHI site or 60 on the BIMAS site were used. If a score met the requirement of one site, the score of the other site is listed even if the minimum score for that site was not achieved. N/A is not available.

These results demonstrate that the cytoplasmic tail of MUC1, which is 87% identical between humans and mice, may be utilized for methods of immunizing against MUC1-positive tumors in humans.

Accordingly, the efficacy of cytoplasmic tail peptide vaccination was evaluated by challenging MUC1.Tg mice with a lethal dose of MUC1-expressing B16 tumor cells 10 days following vaccination. MUC1.Tg mice vaccinated with MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptides demonstrated a significant increase in survival compared to MUC1.Tg mice vaccinated

15

with control peptide or nonvaccinated mice (p<0.05). Organs expressing endogenous MUC1 were examined at necropsy and histologically to determine whether the vaccination also elicited detectable autoimmunity. No evidence of autoimmunity was detected in any of the animals.

MUC1 expression in tumors among was measured vaccinated, control peptide-vaccinated and nonvaccinated Serial sections of tumor tissue were examined for expression of MUC1 by immunohistochemistry. Tumors from control peptide-vaccinated MUC1.Tg mice challenged with B16.MUC1 showed significantly greater expression of MUC1 than vaccinated mice challenged with B16.MUC1. Similar results were observed in tumors obtained from nonvaccinated mice challenged with B16.MUC1 compared to vaccinated mice challenged with B16.MUC1.

10

15

20

25

30

MUC1 The efficacy of cytoplasmic tail vaccinations to protect against MUC1-expressing tumors was evaluated by challenging MUC1.Tg mice with a lethal dose of Panc02.MUC1 tumor cells 10 days following the final vaccination. MUC1.Tg mice vaccinated with MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide Cys-Gln-Cys-Arg-Arg-Lys-Asn-Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr-Tyr-His-Pro-Met-Ser-Glu-Tyr-Pro-Thr-Tyr-His (SEQ ID NO:47) demonstrated a significant increase in survival compared to MUC1.Tg mice vaccinated with control peptide or nonvaccinated mice (p<0.05). Organs from these mice examined at necropsy and histologically showed no evidence of autoimmunity.

Serial sections of tumor tissue derived from vaccinated, control peptide-vaccinated, and nonvaccinated mice were evaluated by immunohistochemistry for expression of MUC1. Tumors from control peptide-vaccinated MUC1.Tg mice challenged with Panc02.MUC1 showed significantly more MUC1 expression than vaccinated mice challenged with

Similar reactivity was observed Panc02.MUC1. in tumors from nonvaccinated obtained mice challenged with Panc02.MUC1 compared to vaccinated mice challenged with Panc02.MUC1. These results, combined with survival data, indicated that MUC1-expressing tumor cells were eliminated, and that tumor growth was primarily due to MUC1-negative variants. Moreover, the observed anti-MUC1 immune responses were tumor specific, as there was no evidence of autoimmune reactions.

10 whether observed anti-MUC1 immune To investigate responses were mediated by humoral and/or cell-mediated mechanisms, ELISA and CTL assays were performed. For ELISA, from vaccinated, control peptide-vaccinated, nonvaccinated mice challenged with MUC1-expressing B16 or Panc02 tumors were tested for production of antibodies to a 15 peptide of SEQ ID NO:47, peptide His-Pro-Met-Ser-Glu-Tyr-Pro-Thr-Tyr-His-Thr-His-Gly-Arg-Tyr-Val-Pro-Pro-Ser-Ser-Thr-Asp-Arg-Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu-Lys-Val-Ser-Ala-Gly ID Ser-Thr-Asp-Arg-Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu-Lys-Val-NO:48), peptide 20 Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn-Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser-Leu-Ser-Tyr-Thr-Asn-Pro-Ala-Val-Ala-Ala-Ala-Ser-Ala-Asn-Leu (SEQ ID NO:49), control peptide, or tandem repeat peptide. There were no statistically significant differences in antibody responses the vaccinated, control peptides among peptide-25 vaccinated and nonvaccinated mice (p>0.05)exception. Following challenge with MUC1-expressing Panc02 tumor cells, nonvaccinated mice produced significantly more peptide-specific antibodies to peptides compared vaccinated or control peptide-vaccinated mice (p<0.05).

To evaluate generation of MUC1-specific CTL, spleens and lymph nodes were harvested from vaccinated, control peptide-vaccinated and nonvaccinated MUC1.Tg mice challenged with MUC1-expressing B16 or Panc02 tumors.

MUC1-specific cytolytic activity was measured against EL4 or EL4.MUC1 cells. CTL were restimulated in vitro for 10 days with EL4 or EL4.MUC1 cells prior to analysis. Cytotoxic responses to EL4.MUC1 cells were similarly low among control peptide-vaccinated and nonvaccinated mice challenged with B16.MUC1 or Panc02.MUC1 (p>0.05). contrast, lymphocytes obtained from vaccinated challenged with B16.MUC1 or Panc02.MUC1 demonstrated significant lysis of EL4.MUC1 cells (p<0.05). responses were specific for MUC1 because there were no differences of EL4 cell lytic activity among vaccinated, control peptide vaccinated and nonvaccinated challenged with MUC1-expressing B16 or Panc02 tumor cells (p>0.05).

10

25

30

It has now been demonstrated that portions of the cytoplasmic tail of MUC1 mediate immunological rejection of MUC1-expressing tumor cells. Further, vaccination of immunologically tolerant MUC1.Tg mice with peptides derived from the amino acid sequence of the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail elicits a protective immune response that significantly prolongs survival of these mice following challenge with MUC1-expressing B16 or PancO2 tumor cells.

Accordingly, one aspect of the present invention is a peptide of at least a portion of a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide of SEQ ID NO:1, wherein said peptide is useful for preventing or treating cancer. A MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide which may be used within the scope of the invention includes a full-length MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide (SEQ ID NO:1), or a homolog, an allele, an ortholog, or a portion of SEQ ID NO:1 which induces an immune response to MUC1-expressing tumor cells. Preferably, the immune response is characterized by the elicitation of a T cell response (e.g., T helper or cytotoxic T cells) which is

brought about by exposure to a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide. More preferably, the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide binds to a MHC class I or MHC class II molecule or is an MHC non-restricted epitope thereby inducing an immune response. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, a portion of a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide encompasses a peptide of SEQ ID NO:47, SEQ ID NO:48 or SEQ ID NO:49. In a more preferred embodiment, a portion of a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide is a 9 to 15 amino acid residue portion of SEQ ID NO:1, e.g., peptides of SEQ ID NO:2 to SEQ ID NO:46.

5

10

A MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide of the invention may be recombinantly-produced or chemically-synthesized using conventional methods well-known to the skilled artisan.

general, recombinant Ιn production of MUC1 15 cytoplasmic tail peptide may require incorporation acid sequences encoding nucleic said peptide into a in a form recombinant expression vector suitable expression of the peptide in a host cell. A suitable form for expression provides that the recombinant expression 20 vector includes one or more regulatory sequences operatively-linked to the nucleic acids encoding the a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide in a manner which allows for transcription of the nucleic acids into mRNA and translation of the mRNA into the protein. Regulatory 25 sequences may include promoters, enhancers and elements (e.g., expression control polyadenylation signals). Such regulatory sequences are known to those skilled in the art and are described in Goeddel D.D., ed., Gene Expression Technology, Academic Press, San Diego, CA 30 (1991). It should be understood that the design of the expression vector may depend on such factors as the choice of the host cell to be transfected and/or the level of expression required. Nucleic acid sequences or expression

vectors harboring nucleic acid sequences encoding a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be introduced into a host cell, which may be of eukaryotic or prokaryotic origin, by standard techniques for transforming cells. methods for transforming host cells may be found Sambrook, et al. (Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 3rd Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2000)) and other laboratory manuals. The number of host cells transformed with a nucleic acid sequence encoding a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide will depend, at least in part, 10 upon the type of recombinant expression vector used and the type of transformation technique used. Nucleic acids may be introduced into a host cell transiently, or more typically, for long-term expression of a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide the nucleic acid sequence is stably integrated into the genome of the host cell or remains as a stable episome in the host cell. Once produced, a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be recovered from culture medium as a secreted polypeptide, although it also may be recovered from host cell lysates when directly expressed without a secretory signal. When a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide is expressed in a recombinant cell other than one of human origin, the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide is substantially free of proteins or polypeptides of human origin. However, it may be necessary to purify the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide from recombinant cell proteins or polypeptides usina protein purification methods conventional to obtain preparations that are substantially homogeneous as to the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide.

15

20

25

addition. to recombinant production, 30 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be produced by direct peptide using solid-phase techniques (Merrifield synthesis (1963) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85:2149-2154). Protein synthesis

may be performed using manual techniques or by automation. Automated synthesis may be achieved, for example, using Applied Biosystems 431A Peptide Synthesizer (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA). Various fragments of the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be chemically-synthesized separately and combined using chemical methods to produce a full-length molecule.

5

10

Whether recombinantly-produced or chemically-synthesized, a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide or portion thereof may be further modified prior to use. For example, the peptides may be glycosylated, phosphorylated or fluorescently-tagged using well-known methods.

MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptides of the invention are useful in inducing an immune response to MUC1-expressing tumor cells. Accordingly, another aspect of the present 15 invention is a method for preventing or treating cancer in a subject by administering a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide provided herein. Subjects who may benefit from a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide of the invention include those having, at risk of having, or suspected of having cancer. A 20 subject at risk of having cancer may include individuals who have a high probability of developing cancer (e.g., individuals who have been exposed to cancer causing agents) or who may have a genetic predisposition for developing 25 benefit from cancer and may а preventive therapy. Preferably, a subject has, is at risk of having or is suspected of having a cancer in which the tumor cells express MUC1. Cancers which may be prevented or treated include cancers of secretory epithelia origin including, 30 not limited to, cancers of the pancreas, breast, prostate, liver, colon, and others.

An effective amount of MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide which may be used in accordance with the method of the

invention is amount which an prevents, eliminates, alleviates, or reduces at least one sign or symptom of a cancer. Signs or symptoms associated with a cancer that may be monitored to determine the effectiveness of a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide include, but are not limited to, tumor size, feelings of weakness, pain perception, and the like. The amount of the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide required to achieve the desired outcome of preventing, eliminating, alleviating or reducing a sign or symptom of 10 cancer will be dependent on the pharmaceutical composition of the MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide, the patient and the condition of the patient, the mode of administration, and the type of cancer being prevented or treated. For example, from about $0.05 \mu g$ to about 20 mg per kilogram of body weight per day of MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be 15 administered. Dosage regime may be adjusted to provide the optimum therapeutic response. For example, several divided doses may be administered daily or the dose may proportionally reduced as indicated by the exigencies of 20 the therapeutic situation. A MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be administered by continuous or intermittent infusion, parenterally, intramuscularly, subcutaneously, intravenously, intra-arterially, intrathecally, transdermally, intraarticularly, orally, bucally, intranasally, as a suppository or pessary, topically, as an 25 spray, or drops, depending upon whether the aerosol, preparation is used to treat an internal or external be accompanied Such administration may pharmacologic studies to determine the optimal dose and schedule and would be within the skill of the ordinary 30 practitioner.

A pharmaceutical composition is one which contains a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide and a pharmaceutically

acceptable carrier. A pharmaceutically acceptable carrier is a material useful for the purpose of administering the medicament, which is preferably sterile and non-toxic, and may be solid, liquid, or gaseous materials, which is otherwise inert and medically acceptable, and is compatible with the active ingredients. A generally recognized compendium of methods and ingredients of pharmaceutical compositions is Remington: The Science and Practice of Pharmacy, Alfonso R. Gennaro, editor, 20th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, 2000.

10

A pharmaceutical composition may contain other active such preservatives. A ingredients as pharmaceutical composition may take the form of a solution, emulsion, suspension, ointment, cream, granule, powder, drops, spray, 15 tablet, capsule, sachet, lozenge, ampoule, pessary, or suppository. Further, a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be coated by, or administered with, a material to prevent its inactivation. For example, peptides may be administered in an adjuvant, co-administered with enzyme inhibitors or 20 Adjuvants contemplated herein include liposomes. resorcinols, non-ionic surfactants such as polyoxyethylene oleyl ether and n-hexadecyl polyethylene ether. include pancreatic trypsin inhibitor, inhibitors diisopropylfluorophosphate (DEP) and trasylol. Liposomes 25 include water-in-oil-in-water CGF emulsions as well, as conventional liposomes.

In a preferred embodiment, a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide according to the invention may be administered as a vaccine to cancer patients to induce immunity to MUC1.

30 Accordingly, a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be conjugated to a carrier protein such as, for example, tetanus toxoid, diphtheria toxoid or oxidized KLH in order to stimulate T cell help.

is further contemplated that a MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide may be conjugated to other species. The other species comprehended include all chemical species which can be fused to the peptide without affecting the binding of the peptide by T-cells. Specific examples are, for example, other antigens such as epitopes which may elicit a separate immune response, carrier molecules which may aid absorption or protect the peptide from enzyme action in order to improve the effective half-life of the peptide. example, while it may be desirable to use a peptide of SEQ ID NO:47 or fragment thereof to treat or prevent pancreatic cancer, peptides or fragments of peptides of SEQ ID NO:47 in combination with peptides of the tandem repeat region of MUC1 may be useful in treating or preventing breast cancer.

Compositions and vaccines according to the invention may contain a single MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptide or a range of MUC1 cytoplasmic tail peptides which cover different or similar epitopes. In addition or alternatively, a single polypeptide may be provided with multiple epitopes. The latter type of vaccine is referred to as a polyvalent vaccine.

The invention is described in greater detail by the following non-limiting examples.

25 Example 1: Cell Culture

10

15

20

30

The BL6 variant of the C57BL/6-derived murine melanoma cell line B16 was maintained in Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM) (GIBCO™ BRL, Div. of Life Technologies Inc., Rockville, MD) supplemented with heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD), essential amino acids (BioWhittaker), non-essential amino acids (Biowhittaker), sodium pyruvate vitamins (GIBCO™), (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and

(Biowhittaker). penicillin/streptomycin Panc02, syngeneic methylcholanthrene-induced pancreatic carcinoma to C57BL/6, was maintained in McCoy's 5A medium (GIBCOTM) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, and penicillin/streptomycin in a humidified incubator at $37^{\circ}C$ and 5° CO_2 . EL4 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO™) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal penicillin/streptomycin bovine serum and humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2. Culture media for MUC1 transfectant clones of B16, Panc02 and EL4 were supplemented with 600 µg/mL G418 (Mediatech, Herndon, VA).

10

Example 2: Expression of Epitope-Tagged MUC1 Deletion Constructs

15 and Panc02 were transfected with plasmid a full-length human MUC1 cDNA (B16.MUC1 encoding Panc02.MUC1) or control expression vector (B16.neo, Panc02.neo) as has been described (Rowse, et al. supra; Morikane, et al. (1999) supra). The full-length 20 cytoplasmic tail was 69 amino acids in length. cytoplasmic tail deletion constructs were generated using well-established methods (Pemberton, et al. (1996) J. Biol. 271:2332; Burdick, et al. (1997) J. Biol. 272:24198). The tandem repeat was comprised of a 20 amino 25 acid sequence (Pro-Asp-Thr-Arg-Pro-Ala-Pro-Gly-Ser-Thr-Ala-Pro-Pro-Ala-His-Gly-Val-Thr-Ser-Ala; SEQ ID NO:50) that repeated 42 times. The MUC1 tandem repeat deleted (ΔTR) construct generated using well-known methods was (Pemberton, et al. (1996) supra; Burdick, et al. (1997)supra). FLAG® epitope-tagged human MUC1 cDNA constructs in of the cytoplasmic tail (MUC1.CT3, which portions MUC1.CT33, MUC1.CT45), or tandem repeat (MUC1(Δ TR)) of MUC1

were deleted were subcloned into the expression vector $pH\beta$ -Aprl-neo using well-known methods (Pemberton, et al. (1996) supra; Burdick, et al. (1997) supra; Gunning, et al. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84:4831). B16 and Panc02 were 5 transfected with plasmid DNA using the LIPOFECTIN® method (GIBCO™) or the GENEPORTER™ 2 method (Gene Therapy Systems, San Diego, CA). Cells were plated in 100 mm tissue culture dishes (Falcon Plastics, BD Labware, Franklin Lakes, grown to approximately 70% confluence. the LIPOFECTIN® method, growth medium was removed, and the cells were washed with 1X PBS, and incubated 12 hours in 5 mL of serum-free DMEM with 10 μL LIPOFECTIN® reagent and 10 μg plasmid DNA linearized by ScaI digestion. After 48 hours, the transfection medium was replaced with selection 15 medium containing 600 µg/mL G418. For the GENEPORTER™ 2 method, growth medium was removed, and the cells were washed with 1X PBS, and then incubated 48 hours in 5 mL of serum-free DMEM containing 42 µL GENEPORTER™ 2 reagent, 12 µg linearized plasmid DNA linearized by ScaI digestion, and 20 300 µL DNA diluent B (Gene Therapy Systems). Transfection using GENEPORTER™ reactions of Panc02 cells 50 μ L transfection booster 3 contained (Gene hours, the transfection medium was After 48 replaced with selection medium containing 600 μ g/mL G418. 25 After approximately 7-10 days, single colonies were . selected with cloning cylinders and expanded. Clonal cell lines were evaluated for co-expression of FLAG® epitope and MUC1 epitopes by western blotting with anti-FLAG® antibody (M2) (Sigma) and anti-MUC1 antibodies HMFG-2 or CT-2. lines found to express the FLAG® epitope and MUC1 epitopes 30 by western blotting, were evaluated for FLAG® epitope and

MUC1 epitope surface expression by flow cytometry analysis.

Example 3: Preparation of Cell Lysates

Cell lysates were prepared by scraping cells into 1 mL of lysis buffer (10 mΜ Tris, 150 mM NaCl, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1% TRITON® X-100) with a rubber cell scraper. Lysates were incubated on ice, for 30 minutes and centrifuged at 4°C for two minutes at 6,000 rpm to remove cell debris. Supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes and protein content was determined using the BIO-RAD® protein assay (BIO-RAD®, Hercules, CA) with bovine serum albumin standards. Cell lysates were stored at -20°C.

Example 4: Immunoblotting

10

Cell lysates resolved 10% denaturing were on polyacrylamide gels (with 3% polyacrylamide stacking gel), 15 electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylpyrrolidine difluoride membranes, and blocked overnight in blotto (5% dry milk in 1X Tris-buffered saline (0.9% NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.5% MqCl₂)). Primary antibodies were diluted 1:2000 20 in blotto. Incubations were for 1 hour at room temperature with light shaking, followed by three 10 minute washes with blotto. Alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies were diluted 1:2500 in blotto, and incubations were for 1 hour at room temperature with light 25 shaking. Following incubation with secondary antibody, the membranes were washed three times as above. chemifluorescence (ECF) reagents were applied manufacturer instructions (AMERSHAM™ Life Science Buckinghamshire, UK), and blots were visualized using a Fujifilm LAS-1000 CCD imaging system (Fuji Film Co., Tokyo, 30 JP). Analysis was performed using IR-LAS-1000 Lite V.1.1 software (Fuji).

Example 5: Flow Cytometry

Flow cytometric analysis of MUC1 surface expression on B16 and Panc02 transfectants was performed as follows. Adherent cells were released from tissue culture flasks by treating with 0.05 mM trypsin and 1.5 mM EDTA in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) for 5 minutes at 37°C. All subsequent steps were carried out on ice. The cells were resuspended in FACS medium (1X PBS, 0.2% BSA, 0.1% sodium azide) at a concentration of 1 X 10^6 cells/mL, and incubated with M2 antibody or anti-MUC1 tandem repeat antibody (HMFG-2) for 20 minutes at 4°C. The cells were washed with FACS medium and incubated with a phycoerythrin-conjugated (PE) rabbit anti-mouse secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA) for 30 minutes at 4°C. The cells were washed again and resuspended in FACS medium, followed by analysis on a FACSCALIBUR™ (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). Analysis was performed with CELLQUEST™ software (Becton Dickinson).

20 Example 6: Mice

Male and female wild-type C57BL/6 mice were purchased from the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD). Agematched MUC1.Tg mice were obtained using standard breeding methods.

25

30

10

15

Example 7: Tumor Challenge

On the day of tumor challenge, adherent control and MUC1-expressing B16 tumor cell lines were released from tissue culture flasks by treating with 0.05 mM trypsin and 1.5 mM EDTA in PBS for 5 minutes at 37°C, counted, and resuspended in DMEM at a concentration of 2 X 10⁵ viable cells/mL. Control and MUC1-expressing Panc02 tumor cell lines were similarly prepared except they were resuspended

 10^7 viable cells/mL. 2 X 10^4 viable B16.MUC1, B16.MUC1.CT3, B16.MUC1.CT33, B16.MUC1.CT45, B16.MUC1(Δ TR), or B16.neo cells were injected subcutaneously, between the scapulae. 1 X 10⁶ viable Panc02.MUC1, Panc02.MUC1.CT3, Panc02.MUC1.CT33, Panc02.MUC1.CT45, Panc02.MUC1(ΔTR), injected subcutaneously, between the Panc02.neo were scapulae. 'Tumor growth was evaluated every two to three days, and tumor diameter was measured using a caliper. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were prepared for challenge studies. Death was defined as the date on which the tumor diameter measured 10 mm. Mice were euthanized when the tumor diameter exceeded 10 mm. The log-rank test was used for statistical analyses.

15 Example 8: Epitope Prediction

10

Two web-based algorithms were used to analyze the amino acid sequence of MUC1 cytoplasmic tail for potential human and murine MHC class I and class II binding epitopes. The first algorithm, "SYFPEITHI," (Rammensee, et al (1999) http://www.uni-20 supra) was available at tuebingen.de/uni/kxi/. This algorithm ranks peptides according to a score taking into account the presence of primary and secondary MHC-binding anchor residues. The second algorithm, "BIMAS," (Parker, et al (1994) supra was 25 available at http://bimas.dcrt.nih.gov/molbio/hla bind/. This algorithm ranks potential binding according to the predicted half-time of dissociation of peptide/MHC complexes.

Example 9: Synthetic Peptides

Peptides used were:

Cys-Gln-Cys-Arg-Arg-Lys-Asn-Tyr-Gly-Gln-Leu-Asp-Ile-Phe-Pro-Ala-Arg-Asp-Thr-Tyr-His-Pro-Met-Ser-Glu-Tyr-Pro-

5 Thr-Tyr-His (SEQ ID NO:47), a 30 amino acid peptide corresponding to MUC1 cytoplasmic tail amino acid residues -3 to 27:

His-Pro-Met-Ser-Glu-Tyr-Pro-Thr-Tyr-His-Thr-His-Gly-Arg-Tyr-Val-Pro-Pro-Ser-Ser-Thr-Asp-Arg-Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu-

- 10 Lys-Val-Ser-Ala-Gly (SEQ ID NO:48), a 32 amino acid peptide corresponding to MUC1 cytoplasmic tail amino acid residues 18 to 49, wherein the underlined amino acid residues represent overlapping sequences with peptides of SEQ ID NO:47 and SEQ ID NO:49; and
- Ser-Thr-Asp-Arg-Ser-Pro-Tyr-Glu-Lys-Val-Ser-Ala-Gly-Asn-Gly-Gly-Ser-Ser-Leu-Ser-Tyr-Thr-Asn-Pro-Ala-Val-Ala-Ala-Ala-Ser-Ala-Asn-Leu (SEQ ID NO:49), a 33 amino acid peptide corresponding to MUCl cytoplasmic tail amino acid residues 37 to 69.
- 20 Additional peptides used include:

25

30

His-Ser-Pro-Thr-Met-Asp-Arg-Ser-Glu-Ser-Tyr-Pro-Pro-Tyr-Thr-Glu-Tyr-Lys-His-Ser-Gly-Ala-Val (SEQ ID NO:51), a 23 amino acid peptide corresponding to the scrambled sequence of the overlapping regions peptides of SEQ ID NO:47, SEQ ID NO:48 and SEQ ID NO:49, referred to as control peptide; and

- a 20 amino acid peptide containing one complete MUC1 tandem repeat (Pro-Asp-Thr-Arg-Pro-Ala-Pro-Gly-Ser-Thr-Ala-Pro-Pro-Ala-His-Gly-Val-Thr-Ser-Ala; SEQ ID NO:50), referred to as TR.
- All peptides were synthesized, characterized, and purified to >95% purity by Genemed Synthesis, Inc. (South San Francisco, CA).

Example 10: MUC1 Cytoplasmic Tail peptide Vaccinations and Tumor Challenge

Peptides were diluted in PBS, рΗ 7.4, at concentration of 500 µg/mL. The mixture was vortexed vigorously for 5 minutes. Mice receiving B16.MUC1 tumors received one vaccination consisting of a combination of 50 µg of peptide of SEQ ID NO:47, 50 µg of peptide of SEQ ID NO:48, and 50 µg of peptide of SEQ ID NO:49 in a total volume of 100 µL by s.c injection between the scapulae. Control mice received one vaccination consisting of 50 μg 10 of control peptide in a total volume of 100 μL by s.c injection between the scapulae or no vaccination. Ten days following vaccination, 2 X 104 viable B16.MUC1 cells were injected subcutaneously, between the scapulae. 15 receiving Panc02.MUC1 tumors received three vaccinations, at seven day intervals, consisting of 50 µg of peptide of SEQ ID NO:47 in a total volume of 100 μL by s.c injection the scapulae. Control between mice received vaccinations, at seven day intervals, consisting of 50 μg 20 of control peptide in a total volume of 100 μ L by s.c injection between the scapulae or no vaccination. Ten days following the third vaccination, 1 X 106 viable Panc02.MUC1 cells were injected subcutaneously, between the scapulae. Tumor challenge results were evaluated as described herein.

25

30

Example 11: Immunohistochemical Examination of Tumors

For immunohistochemical evaluation of MUC1 expression on tumors obtained from vaccinated, control-vaccinated, and nonvaccinated animals, tumor tissue was fixed in a buffered formalin solution (100 mL formalin, 3.4 g NaH₂PO₄, and 10.3 g Na₂HPO₄)/1000mL, pH 7.3-7.4, embedded in paraffin and sectioned at a thickness of 5 μ m. Tissue sections were assayed using a modification of an ABC immunohistochemical

method (Hsu, et al. (1981) J. Histochem. Cytochem. 29:577). Briefly, tissue sections were deparaffinized in EZ-DEWAX™ (BioGenex, San Ramon, CA). Antigen unmasking was carried out by adding 10 mM citrate buffer and boiling for 10 The sections were then incubated in blocking 5 minutes. serum for 20 minutes and primary monoclonal antibody M2 (Sigma) was added and the samples were kept in a humid chamber at 4°C overnight. The slides were rinsed with PBS and incubated for 1 hour with biotin-labeled secondary 10 monoclonal antibody. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating the samples in 3% H₂O₂ for 5 minutes. The slides were then incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature with ABC reagent (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA). The slides were then rinsed with PBS and incubated for 3-5 15 minutes with DAB substrate (Vector Labs) observing closely for color to develop. Sections were then incubated for 10 minutes in 50 mM sodium bicarbonate pH 9.6 followed by a 5-20 second incubation in DAB enhancing solution (Vector Labs) and counterstained with Meyer's hematoxylin for 30 20. seconds. Cover slips were applied and the slides were examined under a Nikon E400 microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, JP). Images were captured using a Nikon CoolPix 950 digital camera (Nikon).

25 Example 12: Cytotoxic T Lymphocyte Assay

30

Detection of MUC1-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) was carried out by generation of effector cells from spleens and lymph nodes (axillary, brachial, inguinal and mesenteric) harvested from vaccinated, control peptide-vaccinated and nonvaccinated mice. Red blood cells were lysed using RBC lysis buffer (800 mg NH₄Cl, 80 mg EDTA, 80 mg NaHCO₃)/100mL. EL4 and EL4.MUC1 target cells were labeled with 100 μ Ci Na₂⁵¹CrO₄ for 1.5 hours and washed. Effector

cells were incubated with 5 X 10³ ⁵¹Cr-labeled EL4 or EL4.MUC1 cells at various effector to target ratios (E:T). in triplicate in 96-well plates (Falcon) in a total volume of 200 μ L. The plates were incubated for 6 hours at 37°C and 5% CO_2 . Following incubation, 50 μL culture supernatant was transferred to LUMAPLATES™ (Packard Instrument Company, Meriden, CT). The LUMAPLATES™ were air overnight at temperature room and radioactivity measured using a TOPCOUNT® NXT (Packard). Percent specific was determined 10 using the following equation: ((experimental ⁵¹Cr release - spontaneous ⁵¹Cr release) / (maximum ⁵¹Cr release - spontaneous ⁵¹Cr release)) X 100% = % Experimental ⁵¹Cr release represents ⁵¹Cr specific lysis. release from targets mixed with effectors, spontaneous 51Cr 15 release represents targets in medium only, and maximum 51Cr release represents targets lysed with 5% TRITON® X-100.

Example 13: Statistical Analysis

times. Survival data were pooled and the log-rank test was used for statistical analysis of survival. Additionally, the Cox regression analysis was used to confirm statistical differences among experimental groups and to verify that data from repeated experiments was statistically similar prior to being pooled. For CTL assays, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison Test. For all statistical tests, a p value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.